

SAN FRANCISCO HEALTH PLAN

CO-64 Information Integrity

APPROVAL/REVIEW/REVISION HISTORY			
Signature	Title	Date	Action
<div><div>DocuSigned by:</div><div><i>Nina Maruyama</i></div><div>9D4617B1400D431...</div></div>	CCO	5/21/2025	New Policy
<div><div>Signed by:</div><div><i>Steve O'Brien</i></div><div>60DFB20814944C4...</div></div>	CMO	5/21/2025	



## SFHP POLICY AND PROCEDURE

### Information Integrity

<b>Policy and Procedure Number:</b>	CO-64
<b>Department:</b>	Clinical Operations
<b>Accountable Lead:</b>	Clinical Operations Policy Analyst
<b>Lines of Business and Coverage Programs Affected:</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Medi-Cal <input type="checkbox"/> Medicare Advantage D-SNP <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Healthy Workers HMO <input type="checkbox"/> Healthy SF <input type="checkbox"/> City Option <input type="checkbox"/> All lines of business and coverage programs as listed above

---

### POLICY STATEMENT

San Francisco Health Plan (SFHP) protects the integrity of UM information used in the processing of UM denials and UM appeals. SFHP has protocols to protect data from being altered outside of appropriate circumstances. SFHP defines appropriate and inappropriate modification types and conducts an annual training for impacted Clinical Operations, Grievance and Appeal, and Member Services staff.

Clinical Operations and Grievance and Appeals teams audit inappropriate documentation and updates to case receipt dates. If an information integrity issue is identified, corrective action to address the issue is immediately implemented. Effectiveness audits of the implemented correction action plan are performed three (3) to six (6) months after completion of the annual audit.

SFHP has system controls to prevent alterations of decision notification dates. A system log evidencing no decision notification date alterations is included in the annual audit review process.

---

### PROCEDURE

#### 1. SCOPE

SFHP protects the following types of information:

<b>UM Authorizations</b>	<b>UM Appeals</b>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Requests from members or their authorized representatives</li> <li>• Request receipt date</li> <li>• Appropriate practitioner review</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Requests from members or their authorized representatives</li> <li>• Request receipt date</li> </ul>

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Use of board-certified consultants</li> <li>• Clinical information collected and reviewed</li> <li>• Decision</li> <li>• Decision notification date</li> <li>• Denial notice</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Substance and investigation of an appeal.</li> <li>• UM appeal participants, as applicable. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Individual or group (e.g., panel) deciding the appeal.</li> <li>• Appropriate practitioner.</li> <li>• Same-or-similar-specialist review.</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Decision notice</li> <li>• Decision notification date</li> </ul>
--	---

## 2. Inappropriate Documentation and Updates

The following documentation updates are not permitted:

- Falsifying UM dates (e.g., receipt date, decision date, notification date).
- Creating documents without performing the required activities.
- Fraudulently altering existing documents (e.g., clinical information, board certified consultant review, denial notices, investigation information, same-or-similar specialist review, appeal notices).
- Attributing review to someone who did not perform the activity (e.g., appropriate practitioner review).
- Updates to information by unauthorized individuals.

## 3. Appropriate Documentation and Updates

The following documentation updates are permitted:

- Modifying a request receipt date for one of the following reasons:
  - i. Modification Request from Provider Received Via Fax
  - ii. Modification Request from Provider Received Via Phone
  - iii. Modification Request from Provider Received Via Provider Portal
  - iv. Change of Template Type
  - v. New Request Received Via Email
  - vi. New Request Received Via Phone
  - vii. New Request Received Via Fax
  - viii. Provider Request Status Change
  - ix. Modification Due to Case Received from Compliance
  - x. Data Entry Error
  - xi. PDR - Claims Mail Processing Delay
  - xii. Case Received After Hours / Holiday
  - xiii. New Case Opened Due to Existing Case
  - xiv. Software Bug
- Documenting the outcome of a verbal physician review. The case note must include the MD's name as well as the date/time of the verbal review.
- Documentation summarizing the external board-certified consultant's report. The report must be downloaded from the external independent reviewer portal and attached to the case. Staff are unable to modify the PDF report.

- Documenting a new decision based on new information received that warranted a different decision (i.e., denied by MD for lack of clinical information, but upon receipt of new information, medical necessity criteria is met by Nurse).
- Staff documenting a correction of a typographical error.
- Provider or Member sends an updated request.

#### 4. Process for Documenting Updates to UM information

For updates to a case receipt date, staff are required to select an override reason using the system embedded drop-down functionality. A tracking report is available to identify all updates to receipt dates. The report includes:

- Date/time stamp of when (e.g., date and time) the information was updated.
- Original receipt date and the new receipt date.
- Update reason that was selected.
- Staff who updated the receipt date.

For other UM information updates (unrelated to receipt dates), staff are required to add a case note. The case note will include:

- When (e.g., date and time) the information was updated.
- What information was updated.
- Why the information was updated.
- Staff who updated the information.

#### 5. System Security Protocols

- a. Case Integrity: An authorization or appeal file, once entered into SFHP's care management system, cannot be deleted by any authorized user, including the system administrator. Users may void, withdraw, or close an authorization or appeal if it was entered erroneously; however, the system retains a record of the cases in these statuses. They are tracked and reported. Files cannot be completely deleted.
- b. Role-Based Access Control: Every user is assigned a specific role based on their job function (coordinator, nurse, medical director, supervisor, etc.). Each role is associated with a defined set of permissions. These permissions specify what actions (e.g., view, edit, delete), a user in that role can perform. Users are granted only the minimum access required to perform their responsibilities.
- c. Recording Dates:
  - **Request Receipt Date:** Except for UM authorization requests received through the provider portal or fax, Clinical Operations and Appeals staff manually enter the receipt date of the request. Jiva defaults to populating the current date and time. Users must either accept the current date and time automatically populated by Jiva, or, if their role allows, they may select an override reason and manually enter a different date. Allowable modification reasons for receipt dates are described above below in "Appropriate Documentation and Updates".

- **Written Decision Notification Date:** The date is automatically populated by Jiva on the written notification. Dates on written notification cannot be modified by users. The date of written notification cannot be changed or overwritten; if a user subsequently prints the letter again, Jiva captures that date in addition to the original date of written notification.
- d. **Case Notes:** Once posted, case notes cannot be deleted. Notes can be edited for up to 48 hours after posting, but only by the user who posted the note. After 48 hours, the note becomes locked. The only way to clarify or correct a previous note is to add an addendum note.

## 6. Staff Responsible for Performing UM/Appeal Activities

Activity Type	UM - Clinical Operations (CO) Staff	UM Appeals Staff
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Responsible for documenting completion of UM activities</li> <li>Authorized to modify request receipt date information (*decision notification dates cannot be modified)</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>CO Coordinator (Prior Auth, Concurrent Review, Post-Acute, Long-Term Care, Transportation, Complex Discharge)</li> <li>CO Nurse (Prior Auth, Concurrent Review, Post-Acute, Long-Term Care, Complex Discharge).</li> <li>CO Admin staff (Manager, CO Trainer and Auditor, Analyst)</li> <li>CO Supervisor/Manager (Prior Auth, Concurrent Review, Post-Acute, Long-Term Care)</li> <li>.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Grievance &amp; Appeal staff (Specialist, Associate Program Manager, Program Manager, Supervisor)</li> <li>Quality Review staff (Nurse, Supervisor)</li> <li>Customer Service staff (Representative, Specialist, Lead, Supervisor)</li> <li>Senior Manager, Member Services</li> </ul>
Responsible for oversight of UM information integrity functions, including auditing.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>CO Manager</li> <li>CO Program Manager</li> <li>CO Nurse Auditor Trainer</li> <li>CO Supervisor/Manager (Prior Auth, Concurrent Review, Post-Acute, Long-Term Care)</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Grievance &amp; Appeal Supervisor</li> <li>Grievance &amp; Appeal Program Manager</li> <li>Senior Manager, Member Services</li> </ul>

## 7. Auditing, Documenting and Reporting Information Integrity Issues

Clinical Operations and Grievance and Appeals annually audit for inappropriate updates to request receipt dates. Decision notification dates are not audited because SFHP maintains system controls to prevent modifications of decision notification dates.

Separate system log reports for receipt dates (which are modifiable) and decision notification dates (which are not modifiable) are produced. From the receipt date report, SFHP randomly samples and audits 5% or 50 files, whichever is less. A separate audit is not conducted for the decision notification dates as the system control prevents changes; however, a system log is still produced as evidence of the system control.

For the UM Authorization audit, the scope is limited to UM denial determinations resulting from medical necessity. For the UM Appeal audit, the scope includes all appeal resolution decisions, whether or not an appeal resulted from medical necessity review.

A qualitative analysis is performed on inappropriate documentation and updates. If an integrity issue is identified, staff responsible for oversight (listed above) implement a corrective action plan and monitoring process. Annual training may not be the only corrective action.

The annual audit report will include the titles of UM and Grievance and Appeals staff involved in the analysis as well as the cause of each finding. Findings may be classified as operational (impacts team) or individual (impacts an individual staff member).

Consequences for inappropriate documentation and updates may include retraining, a verbal or written warning, or in severe cases, termination. The consequences are dependent on the level of severity and whether it was a repeat offense.

When fraud or misconduct is identified, SFHP notifies NCQA following the procedures outlined in [Section 5](#) on the NCQA Manual. See pages 53 and 54 "Reporting Hotline for Fraud and Misconduct; Notifying NCQA of Reportable Events".

Three (3) to six (6) months after completion of the annual audit, an effectiveness audit of the corrective actions is conducted.

## **8. Information Integrity Training**

Clinical Operations Nurse Trainer and Auditor and Grievance and Appeals Supervisor annually train all UM, G&A, and Member Services staff on appropriate and inappropriate documentation updates. In addition, the training informs staff of the:

- Annual audit process

- Process for documenting and reporting inappropriate documentation and updates to:
  - The organization's designated individual(s) when identified.
  - NCQA, when the organization identifies fraud and misconduct.
  - The consequences of inappropriate documentation and updates.

---

## MONITORING

Annually the Clinical Operations Nurse Trainer and Auditor and Grievance and Appeals Supervisor audit UM Denials and UM Appeals for inappropriate updates to request receipt dates following the procedure set forth above. If inappropriate documentation types are identified, a qualitative analysis to determine the cause is performed and a corrective action plan to address the issue is implemented immediately.

The audit findings are documented in a report reviewed by UM and Grievance and Appeals Leadership, the Utilization Management Committee (UMC), the Compliance and Regulatory Affairs Team, the Quality Improvement and Health Equity (QIHEC).

Effectiveness audits of the implemented correction action plan are performed three (3) to six (6) months after completion of the annual audit.

---

## DEFINITIONS

**Request Receipt Date:** The date the Authorization or Appeal request is received from the member, their authorized representative, or provider, even if the request does not have all the information necessary to make a decision. The date is based on when the request arrives at SFHP, not the date the request is received by the Clinical Operations or Grievance and Appeals team.

**Written Decision Notification Date:** The date auto generated on the written decision notification letter (i.e., Notice of Action or Notice of Appeal Resolution).

---

## AFFECTED DEPARTMENTS/PARTIES

Clinical Operations  
Compliance and Regulatory Affairs  
Grievance and Appeals  
Member Services  
Utilization Management Committee

---

---

## **RELATED POLICIES & PROCEDURES, DESKTOP PROCESS and PROCESS MAPS**

DTP UM12 Appeals  
CO-22 Authorization Requests  
GA-03 Member Appeals  
IS-08 Access Controls  
IS-28 External Network Access Restrictions

---

---

## **REVISION HISTORY**

**Original Date of Issue:** May 15, 2025  
**Revision Approval Date(s):**

---

---

## **REFERENCES**

1. 2025 NCQA UM 12 Information Integrity